# Introduction to the course: Verifying cyberphysical systems

Verifying cyberphysical systems August 27<sup>th</sup> 2019 Sayan Mitra <u>mitras@illinois.edu</u>

## Welcome

What is this class about?

## INTRODUCTION

## The verification problem



**Verification.** The action of demonstrating or proving to be true by means of evidence; formal assertion of truth. (OED)





When can we build such a tool? How expensive is it? How well is it going to work? Under what assumptions?

# Verifying cyberphysical system

- Cyberphysical system (CPS): a computer controlling something physical. For example, car, drone, medical device, power grid, etc.
- The number of possible behaviors\* usually uncountably infinite
- Requirement: Assertions about all *behaviors* 
  - Under all nominal conditions the vehicle stays within the lanes
  - Under all nominal driving conditions the emissions are within the prescribed range
  - The drone visits the waypoints while avoiding collisions
  - Insulin pump maintains blood glucose level to within the prescribed range
- Testing: evaluates requirements on a finite number of behaviors
- Verification: aims to prove requirements over all behaviors

## Goal

### Write programs that prove correctness of other programs

Suppose *Hamlet's* car has 2 choices every 10ms, how many positions could it be in in 10 seconds? Predicting all futures



State space explosion! Number of states grow exponentially with time!



How many miles must an autonomous car test drive before we call it safe?

200 million miles?

0.07 fatalities per billion passenger miles (commercial flight)

## Why is air-travel safe?

#### **Regulations and Audits**

What fraction of the cost of developing a new aircraft is in SW?

How much does it cost to change 1 line of code?



Primary document by which FAA & EASA approves software-based aerospace systems.

DAL establishes the process necessary to demonstrate compliance

Supplement DO-333 supplement of DO-178C identifies aspects of airworthiness certification that pertains to of software using *formal methods* 



Dev.Assuranc e Level (DAL)	Hazard Classification	Objectives
А	Catastrophic	71
В	Hazardous	69
С	Major	62
D	Minor	26
E	No Effect	0

**Statement Coverage:** Every statement of the source code must be covered by a test case

**Condition Coverage:** Every condition within a branch statement must be covered by a test case

Another earlier success instance: microprocessor industry and supporting design automation tools



## Beyond ECE/CS 584

- Hardware verification (model checking) is now part of engineering practice in the industry
- Automated Device Driver Verification at Microsoft: SLAM tool from MSR; AMAZON Web services verified using TLA
- Formal modeling and analysis is becoming part of certification process for avionics (e.g., ASTREE); adoption for automotive and manufacturing around the corner
- Commercial enterprises
  - Synopsis, Mentor Graphics, Cadence, Coverity, Galois, SRI, etc.
  - More up and coming in the automotive space
- Vibrant, focused research community:
  - Conferences: CAV, TACAS, PLDI, HSCC, EMSoft
  - Faculty and research. positions
  - Turing Awards: Lamport (2014), Clarke, Sifakis & Emerson (2008), Pnueli (1997), Lampson (1992), Milner (1991), Hoare (1980), Dijkstra (1972) ...

# Verification aims to mathematically prove requirements over all behaviors

- To prove anything, first we have to start with assumptions
- These assumptions will be captures in the *models* of cyberphysical systems

"All models are wrong, some are useful"

- 1/3 of this class is about models
  - Programs, state machines, or differential equations, block diagrams?
  - Discrete or continuous time
  - Discrete or continuous state or both
  - Hybrid, switched
  - Deterministic or nondeterministic or both or neither
  - Composition and interfaces
  - Abstraction
  - Modeling languages, tools

# Verifying hybrid models is a very hard problem

- Verification of hybrid automaton is *undecidable No one* can find the is Algorithm of that type
- Approximate and bounded time versions of the problem can be solved algorithmically, but often the algorithms do not *scale* with the size of the model, number of agents, time horizon, etc.
- Models are often hard to get
  - IP protection
  - Too complex, messy
  - Machine learning modules



## Odd perspectives on scalability



data scientist

algorithmist

verification engineer

# Silver linings (course objectives)

- Learn the foundational connections between computer science and control theory
- Model everything
- Introduction to key concepts in formal methods and cyberphysical systems; exposure to some of the most influential ideas in CS and
- Learn powerful algorithms and tools
- Jumpstart research

Invariant, barrier certificates, ranking functions, stability, selfstabilization, convergence, transition system

Programs, state machines, or differential equations, discrete or continuous state or both, Hybrid, switched, Deterministic or nondeterministic or both, composition, interfaces, abstraction, modeling languages, tools

satisfiability modulo theory, semantics, temporal logics, theorem provers, SAF solvers, ranking functions, data-driven verification, HYLAA, C2E2, SpaceEx, Flow\*, Z3, ...

semester-long project, feedback, presentation, hardware, software, and data resources

How the course works

## **ADMINISTRIVIA**

## Illinois 2019 Edition

<u>https://wiki.illinois.edu/wiki/pages/viewpage.action?pageId=6</u>
<u>42598908</u>