Models for Computation (Chapter 2) The standard model of Computation is a state machine, a.k.a., automaton, transition ayotem, discrete transition system, Kripke structure You have probably seen a finite state machine: Finite Automaton · States · Start state · Transitions Generalization & FSMs Arbitrary number of states Variables implicity define states Variables are the atoms or building blocks for models Once we have variables, we can use programs to define the transitions.



Variables, valuations A set of names (identifiers) and types E.g $V = \{x, v\}$ type (x) = other types type (v) =type (v) = A valuation for V maps each vEV to a value in type (v). E.g. A valuation for Given a valuation V of V a restriction of V to a particular variable x EV is written as VTx. E.g. V/x = V'/ro = Set f all possible valuations f V is denoted by val (V) $\approx \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$ Eq. Val (V) =

Automata Def. An automaton is 4 tuple A= <V, O, A, D> · V is a set of variables; val (V) state space • O ⊆ val(v) set f initial values or states · A is a set f action names • $\mathcal{A} \subseteq val(V) \times A \times val(V)$ set f Labeled transitions if <V, a, V'> E D we say that there is a valid transition from v to v' by performing action a. We write this as $V \xrightarrow{\alpha} v'$ E.g. An action a eA is enabled at a otate $v \in Val(V)$ if $\exists v' \in Val(V)$ puch that $v \xrightarrow{\alpha} v^{1}$.

9. When is bounce enabled? The set f states where an action is enabled is called the guard or the precondition of the action. E.g. Pre (bounce) When is fick enabled? Are they enabled at the same states?

Nondeterminism SI a internal external $\langle S1, \alpha, S2 \rangle \in A$ and $\langle S1, a, S3 \rangle \in A$ An automaton is determenistic if from any state V val(V) at most one action is enabled and the action uniquely determines the post state, +V, a, a2, v, v2 if v ar > v, and v az v2 then $a_1 = a_2$ and $v_1 = v_2$. Non determinism is the main mechasim for modeling uncertainty in automata.

Executions

Hn execution of an automaton It captures a particular sun ar behavier JA

An execution of A is an alternating sequence d = vo ay v, az puch that each v; eval (v) ai eA and $v_i \xrightarrow{a_{i+1}} v_{i+1}, v_o \in \Theta$.

Execsa: Set jall exections jA $Execs_{A}(\theta)$

Execsp (O, R) : Finite executions f length at most R.

For a finite execution $\alpha = V_0 \alpha_1 \dots V_k$ the last state (V_k) is denoted by d. Istate.

Reachable states A state $v \in val(v)$ is <u>reachable</u> if $\exists a$ finite execution & such that α . 1state = V. Reach, Set of all reachable states of A. nitial set eachable set Reach_A(0) Reach_A(0,k) simulated trajectory 0.8 0.6 0.4 0.2 0.5 0.5 0 7 -1.5 Reachable set of bouncing

ball computed by CORA tool.

Ex2. Dijkstra's token ring algorithm E. Dijkstra (1930-2002) · Shortest path algorithm Dining philosophers' problem
Structured programming
Self Stabilization

Self-Stabilization

A septem that is designed to be such that after a failure happens, it can go to arbitrary bad (illegal) states but as the eyotem continues to run it automatically returns to a good (legal) state.



Token Ring A distributed system in a ring topology in which only one process has the "token" at any given time. Used for • • Dijkstra's token ring algorithm R Pi has token if

Which processes have a token? Dijkstra's Algorithm Pi can update state only when it has a token. Update rure Execution of the system Starting from good state





Automaton Dijktra TR(N: IN, K: IN) Variables X: actions Update (transitions Update (i) update (i) This defines an automation A Dijktra V = 7= (-)A: 3 1 =



Requirements for Token Ring System always has at least one token
 System always has exactly one token
 System eventually has exactly one token
 Process values are always ≤ K. Invariant. It requirement that holds always. More formally: a property or a predicate that is satisfied in all reachable states of the system. Conservation is related to invariants Related to Conserved quantities Det

Invariant is an overapproximation of the reachable states.

I4. IC I2'. How to prove an invariant? Given I, Check Reach GI Inductive Invariant Theorem 7.1 if the following two Conditions hold

proof. Consider any reachable state V € Reach_A. It follows that any reachable state VEI. 2 Remark Thm 7.1 conditions (i) and (ii) give a method (sufficient condition) for proving invaviant requirements.

Exercise Check / Verify that I2' is an invariant.

Proof Check init. ⊖ ⊆ IZ' Follows from assumption that start state satisfies exactly one token. Check transition. ¥ V ∈ IZ' a ∈ A if V→V' then V'∈ IZ'

Fix any VEI2' two Cases to consider to show that V'EI2' 1, a=update (o) from precondition V[X[0] = V[X[N-1] from VEI2' #i = 0 - has token(i, v) i.e. $\nabla [x[i] = \nabla [x[i-i]]$ from eff V [X[0] = V [X[0] + 1 mod K $\forall i \neq 0 \ \cup \ [x [i] = \ \cup \ [x [i-1] = \ \cup \ [x [i]]$ Therefore, only i=1 has token $v' \in I2'$ 2, a = update(i) $i \neq 0$ from precondition V[X[i] = V[X[i-]] from VEI2' Vj = i Thastoken(j,v) from eff we can check that

J

Only j+1 mod N has token. V'EI2' R

Therefore I2' is an invariant i.e. if 6⊆I2 Reach_A⊆I2

Exercise. Prove invariance & II, I4 Using theorem 7:1.

Guestion. What if I S Val (V) is invariant but does not satisfy 7'1 (i)& 7'1(ii)?

